



Sutton-in-Craven Parish Council

Minutes of the Meeting of Sutton-in-Craven Parish Council

Held in the Community Centre, North Street, 6.45pm on Monday 13th April 2015

Present

Cllr. Morrell – Chairman, Cllr. Bretan – Vice Chair, Cllr. Joy, Cllr. Hart, Cllr. Marchant, Cllr. Green and Cllr. Parsons
In Attendance: Mrs D Emmott – Clerk, 2 members of the public.

39/04/2015 Apologies for Absence

Cllr. Smith (Holiday), Cllr Hawkins

40/04/2015 Declarations of Member's Interest in Matters on the Agenda

Cllr. Green declared a prejudicial Interest on Planning Application 66/2015/15475 and left the room during discussion and decision.

Cllr Hart abstained from commenting on planning applications.

41/04/2015 Minutes of the Previous Meeting

It was **resolved** that the minutes of the Meeting held on Monday 2nd March 2015 (circulated to all members) were agreed as a correct record and signed by the Chairman. Proposed by Cllr. Bretan, seconded by Cllr. Joy

42/04/2015 Planning Applications

- a) Application Number: 66/2015/15475
Proposal: Outline Application For Demolition of Redundant Commercial Premises and Erection of 10 Dwellings with Access and Siting Considered
Location: Allen Green and Son Ltd, North Road, Sutton-in-Craven
Applicant: Ag&S 1990 Ltd
Fully support this application as it is making good use of a brownfield site.
- Application Number: 66/2015/15538
Proposal: Garage Conversion To Games Room
Location: 11 Crofters Mill, Sutton-in-Craven
Applicant Mr & Mrs Birks
No Objections
- Application Number: 66/2015/15530
Proposal: Application for Listed Building Consent To Construct Pitched Roof Over Existing Stone Single Storey Garage
Location: East Lodge, Hall Drive, Sutton-in-Craven
Applicant: Malcom Garratt
No Objections
- Application Number: 66/2015/15532
Proposal: Construction of Two Detached Dwellings
Location: The Balgray, West Lane, Sutton-in-Craven
Applicant: Mr & Mrs Tosney
The Parish Council have concerns regarding access. Visibility splays need to be implemented and maintained for safety reasons.
Conditions should be made to ensure that parking areas, turning access, and exit and entrance routes on the designated plans should be kept accessible at all times.
Drainage is a problem in wet weather down West Lane the current drainage system cannot cope in heavy rainfall. Adequate drainage measures need to be implemented.
- Application Number: 66/2015/15471
Proposal: Erection of a Wood Post and Rail Fence 1.5m High to Define the Boundary
Location: Knowle Court Farm Cottage, Ellers Road
Applicant Mr George Bradley
No Objections
- Application Number: 66/2015/14585
Proposal: Erection of New 3 Bedroom Detached House (Resubmission of Withdrawn Application 66/2014/14585)
Location: Within Garden Area of 1 Hazel Grove Road
Applicant: Mrs Sue Chatfield
No Objections
- Application Number: 66/2014/15334
Prepared on behalf of the Parish Council by the Planning Consultant.
This objection sets out the Parish concerns to the development. The main areas of concern are as follows, namely

LANDSCAPE IMPACT AND ERODING THE CHARACTER OF THE SETTLEMENT

Presently, the application site forms an important visual break between Sutton and Eastburn; villages which have their own separate identities. The proposal will erode this important gap and give the appearance of the villages appearing to coalesce. I am reinforced in this view by an appeal decision **APP/C2708/A/12/2187311** for the erection of 53 dwellings off Holme Lane Sutton. The Inspector identified the main issue as:

"The main issue is the effect of the proposed development on the character and appearance of the area and whether development of part of the Green Wedge beyond the settlement boundary is justified in the light of the supply of housing land and other considerations".

Notwithstanding that Craven Council at that time could not demonstrate a 5 year deliverable supply of housing, the Inspector clearly framed the main issue (which would frame his whole approach to his reasoning), in terms of whether the release of that land outside the settlement boundary was justified *in the light* of the shortfall of housing supply. In other words, the Inspector did not use the shortfall of housing supply as his starting point, but used the **landscape impact as the cornerstone of the main issue**, against which other considerations, including housing land supply, would be weighed in the balance.

This is significant, because often the Councils' starting point is the shortfall in housing supply, which all too often is seen as the issue which overrides all other considerations, which is clearly not the case. Housing supply is simply one of many considerations in the determination of any application or appeal.

Whilst the land the subject of the present application does not have the benefit of "Green Wedge status, the fundamental planning principle of maintaining compact settlement forms and avoiding coalescence of settlements and encroachment into the countryside remain as salient today as it was then.

At paragraph 10 of the decision the Inspector states:

*Whilst emphasising the economic and social benefits of development, including new housing, the NPPF makes it clear that the planning system **also has an environmental role**. To achieve sustainable development, the economic, social and environmental **roles of the planning system should not be undertaken in isolation, as they are mutually dependent**.*

*The core planning principles set out in Paragraph 17 (of the Framework) include the need to take account of the different roles and character of different areas, **recognise the intrinsic character and beauty of the countryside and to conserve and enhance the natural environment**.*

Therefore to reiterate, the absence of a five year supply of housing in the Borough as a whole, at the time of that particular appeal did not imply that environmental considerations (the environment being a fundamental cornerstone of sustainable development), were ascribed any lesser weight than the planning system has historically attributed to them.

The proposed estate would intrude into the open hillside at this key gateway approach to the village in a most ungainly way. At present, Wilson Street and the houses thereon clearly define the edge of the village on the southern side of Sutton Lane. The housing on the northern side of Sutton Lane extends slightly farther eastwards, but the access road Corn Mill Walk, clearly defines the termination of built up form of the village at this point on Sutton Lane.

The proposed development will result in an incongruous "tongue" of built form extending in an easterly direction, well beyond the currently well-defined settlement limits, which will not only seriously erode the character of the countryside at this point, but will result in a most unnatural incursion of built form into the open countryside.

This is exacerbated by the fact that the southern most boundary of the development, will not follow any readily identifiable wall, line of trees, road or other boundary, but will simply cut across open fields. The effect will be particularly pronounced because the land rises in a southerly direction, with the result that the proposal will result in a "promontory" of development standing in otherwise open countryside and seen against the backdrop not of other housing, but the elevated hillside to the far South.

The fact the applicant has incorporated a "strategic open space" on the Eastern approach to the development and also part of the northern side fronting Sutton Lane, does nothing to obviate the aforementioned impact. Indeed, insofar as the open space is sited on the lowermost reaches of the field, and the housing development primarily on the upper levels, it has the effect of highlighting the hard edge of the built form on its northern and eastern sides in a most unnatural way. The only aspect of the housing that will bear any relationship whatsoever with existing built form, is the smaller part of the development which stands closest to Sutton Lane, and even that element has retained an unnaturally thin green frontage to Sutton Lane.

I would also refer the Council to a very recent appeal decision issued 20 March 2015 in respect of a single dwelling at Bridge End House Glusburn (Ref: App/C2708/A/14/2223989) attached. The primary reason this appeal was dismissed was the impact the house would have on the character and appearance of the countryside as it lay outside the settlement boundary. At paragraph 8 the Inspector states:

***"Therefore, although the Local Plan was adopted as long ago as 1999, Policy EV1 remains in terms of Paragraph 215, highly consistent with the Framework"** and later at paragraph 9:*

"However, given that its main objective is to protect the character and quality of the countryside, in that respect (EV1) it is, as I have found above, consistent with the Framework and weighs against the appeal proposal. "

In coming to this conclusion to reject the appeal, the Inspector gave regard to the fact that at the time of that particular appeal, there was a shortfall of housing, which as I understand it, is no longer the case. He concludes:

"That the adverse impact on the countryside would significantly and demonstrably outweigh that benefit in this instance, and taking all aspects of sustainability into account, would not represent sustainable development"

It is clear that a proposed development of 50 dwellings will have an appreciably greater impact on this sensitive edge of village site than the aforementioned appeal for a single dwelling. It is also far from clear, in the absence of any Unilateral Obligation, what the developer means by "strategic open space". In the absence of any Management Company or measures for its maintenance and delivery, it is by no means clear what is being advanced here.

Certainly, the Parish Council have no intention whatsoever of taking on the management and maintenance of the facility. Whilst the piece of open space appears bisected by footways, one has to ask what benefit this in essence provides, given the prevalence of nearby parks and walkways. Aside from Sutton Park itself which is well maintained and within walking distance, is the Public Footpath almost opposite the site which passes down Corn Mill Walk and affords access to the riverside walk along Holme Beck. There is a further footpath a few hundred yards to the East from Sutton Lane to Knott Farm and beyond.

In the light of these nearby amenities, it is questionable what tangible benefit if any, the open space incorporated into the development will achieve. It has been presented as “planning gain” which in reality offers little recreational benefit and does even less to incorporate the proposed development into its surroundings.

The development in terms of its layout also has little to commend it in visual terms. It in effect, comprises a modern cul-de-sac layout which is entirely inappropriate in the context of this historic village with its network of narrow streets largely derived from a eighteenth and nineteenth century grid work layout with roads running perpendicular to each other. There has been no effort whatsoever to integrate the proposed development into the established urban grain. The houses themselves are arranged in a suburban form of layout with fairly tight groups of houses fronted by car parking spaces and interspersed with garages.

This housing layout could be found anywhere in Britain and there is no evidence to suggest in the Design and Access Statement that there has been any attempt to demonstrate how this particular pattern of development has evolved in response to an appraisal of the existing character of the village. This is somewhat ironic, when one of the purposes of such statements when they were originally introduced by the Government was to ensure that new developments were informed by an appraisal of the site context, into which they should then integrate.

The National Planning Policy Framework (the Framework) stresses the importance of good design. Paragraph 58 highlights the importance (4th Bullet point) of responding to local character and history and reflect the identity of local surroundings. Paragraph 64 states that permission should be refused for development of poor design that fails to take the opportunities available for improving the character and quality of an area.

The developer has mistakenly taken the view that the detailed design of the development is not at issue, provided that it is swathed in a backcloth of green space; open space which is neither necessary nor wanted in the view of the Parish Council.

HARM TO THE SETTING OF THE LISTED BUILDING

It is also important to note that the Grade 2 Listed Building; Garden Place Nos 1 & 2 Main Street, whilst it stands back from Nos 3-5 Main Street, contributes to the setting of the village at this point and is a building of considerable charm in its own right. The application is defective in this regard because the Design and Access Statement makes no reference to this heritage asset and the impact of the proposed development on its setting has not been considered, notwithstanding that it stands directly opposite the application site.

It is self-evident that a modern estate of suburban character, new access point with the required visibility splays, together with the creation of a 2 metre footway along Sutton lane will fundamentally erode the historic setting of this building. It will also create a more formal appearance to Sutton Lane itself which presently has the appearance of a country lane, despite the fact that it carries substantial traffic at peak times.

In summary, the Parish’s concerns on this issue centre on the harm the proposal would cause to the settlement form and character and appearance of the area at this prominent gateway site into the village, as well as the adverse impact on the setting of the Grade II Listed Building situated opposite the site. The fact the proposal would undermine the visual break between Sutton and Eastburn villages is also a primary concern.

ADEQUACY OF THE SURROUNDING ROAD NETWORK

A further area of disquiet is the capacity of the surrounding road network to accommodate the traffic generated by the development. As it stands, large vehicles and particularly buses, have difficulty negotiating their way through the village, most particularly at the junction of Holme Lane with Colne Road Crosshills and around the corner of Greenroyd Mill onto Main Street, where parked cars around the school and adjacent park inhibit the free flow of traffic. At peak times there are substantial queues of traffic backing up along Holme Lane from Colne Road Crosshills and drivers consequently use Main Street as a “rat run” to avoid Colne Road. There are also frequent delays for traffic queuing at the level crossing on Station Road. Each additional residential development that is approved in the village adds to these problems and the addition of a further 29 households will exacerbate the existing situation.

THE MATTER OF HOUSING SUPPLY

The site has been advanced as a potential site in the Craven Local Plan Preferred Sites for Consultation (Ref: SC040). Clearly, as an embryonic document out for consultation, this carries little weight at present.

This is especially salient when one considers that there were no less than 81 individual letters of objection to the Craven Local Plan Consultation Sept-Nov 2014 with that document citing a “**Huge negative response**” to the inclusion of SC040 as a potential housing site. It is self-evident therefore that the mere inclusion of SC040 as a preferred site at this stage is by no means certain to lead to its subsequent designation as a housing site in the forthcoming Local Plan. The listing of SC040 as having “favourable” community feedback following public consultation was based on a total of the responses of **four** individuals who called in at the Sutton Baptist Church; one of whom expressed concern about additional traffic. Whilst statistically speaking a positive response of three against a negative of one amounts to a balance in favour of SC040, it cannot be said that those three responses can realistically be taken as representative of the Parish views on that particular site. The subsequent 81 objections to SC040 in the Craven Local Plan Consultation Sept-Nov 2014 bear’s obvious testament to this point.

It is also salient to note that the initial consultation exercise carried out in a section entitled *Housing: Where* illustrated a map of the District with a suggested annual allocation of housing for each settlement to “get the discussion going”. Clearly these figures were precisely that, namely a stimulus to discussion, but the fact that it was at that early stage suggested that 5 dwellings per year might be appropriate for Sutton Village, is likely to be reflective of the position of Sutton within the settlement hierarchy as a smaller village. It would logically follow that it would not be expected to make a significant contribution to the annual supply of housing in the District as a whole. Note in contrast, the suggestion that Skipton (as the key settlement in the District) might accommodate 69 dwellings per year.

The *scale* of this development in isolation (and this is not taking into account several other housing developments in the village in recent years), thus exceeds what in the view of the Parish Council can reasonably expected to be accommodated within this modest village, without irrevocably harming its character and rural identity.

What the applicant also fails to elucidate is that the Framework principle in favour of allowing sustainable development only applies if any **adverse impacts of doing so would significantly and demonstrably outweigh the benefits when set against the policies in the Framework as a whole**. When the application was originally submitted it was maintained on behalf of the applicant that there was a shortfall in the five year supply of housing in the District and that this amounted to a substantive benefit delivered by the scheme. However, following recent discussions with the Planning Policy team it has emerged through the latest monitoring, that **there is no longer a deficiency in housing supply**, although the data has yet to be published on the Council website. Thus, there is no longer any compelling basis to release Greenfield sites which lay beyond the settlement boundary.

For all these reasons, the Parish Council are opposed to the application in the strongest possible terms and would urge Craven District Council to refuse planning permission.

43/04/2015

Public Participation

Issues raised by a member of the public:

Flooding Holme Lane

Concern was raised over the recent flooding at Holme Lane/Bridge Road. The drainage system needs to be looked into.

The Parish Council will contact North Yorkshire County Councillor representative Philip Barrett.

Park Toilets

A member of the Village Hall Committee reported he had received a complaint about water on the park toilet floors from a member of the public who attended a wedding reception which was held in the park for an hour before progressing to the Village Hall.

No complaints were made to Pavilion Staff at the time. The toilets are cleaned daily but there is not a toilet attendant.

Local Plan

The resident informed that he had received notice that the deadline for the completion of Local Plan is now 2016/17. The resident emphasised that he felt this unacceptable and continued to inform there are 2,000 empty houses in our area.

Planning Application: 66/2015/15334, Land Off Main Street/Sutton Lane

The Parish Council was asked with regard to the above application did they realise 1.83 ha of strategic open space and 1ha of green wedge was offered to the Parish Council and why was this not minuted?

In Design and Access and Heritage Statement which is part of the planning application it is written:

‘The proposal provides for a continuous footpath and cycleway connecting areas of the proposed site with the existing footpath to the western end of Sutton, and extending this footpath to the West Yorkshire border. The footpath extends into the site, through landscaped areas forming the strategic open space that separates the housing from the road. This green open space retains the existing impression of open fields uninterrupted from the Eastburn border to the new site entrance. New tree planting to further retain the existing feeling of open space will screen the proposed housing.

‘To the western end of the site is a parcel of land which is proposed shall be retained as a green wedge in perpetuity, either by a Section 106 Agreement, or if preferred the land shall be gifted to the parish of Sutton, to be managed by trustees on behalf of the village to provide a permanent green wedge – free from any future housing development.’

The planning application was discussed as a whole at March’s Meeting when over 24 members of the public attended. The amount of people who attended and objections raised are minuted under the heading Planning Applications. It is also noted a vote was taken with the vast majority voting against the application.

44/04/2015

North Yorkshire County Council Report

None

45/04/2015

Craven District Council Report

The chief executive of Craven District Council has sought to reassure councillors over his decision to buy a house from the authority’s own development partner.

46/04/2015

Clerks Report & Correspondence

1. To Review Standing Orders, Financial Regulations, Financial Risk Assessment and Records Management Policy

It was **resolved** that the revised Standing Orders and Financial Regulations be adopted without amendment.

It was **resolved** the list of regular payments be approved (5.6 Financial Regulations) and signed by two Councillors.

It was **resolved** that the reviewed Financial Risk Assessment be adopted.

It was **resolved** that the Records Management Policy be adopted without amendment.

2. Police Matters – update

a) Bus shelter – Main Street

No witnesses have come forward to the damage.

The theft of eggs/throwing

- b) Again no suspects, the witness is unable to give NYP any names of people that were seen in the area so they can’t make any further enquiries. There have been no other witnesses to this incident but as a result of further enquiries two 16 year olds will be receiving Community Resolution Disposals for theft of milk from a property on Gatering Lane on the previous night. These youths were also caught on CCTV on Main Street Sutton moving road cones from the road works, they have been given words of advice regarding this and informed that it is a criminal offence and carries a fine of up to £1000. Something neither youth was aware off.

Sutton Cricket Club

As you are aware the Cricket Club has received planning permission to replace the two old buildings at the ground which were built in the 1930's and have now become totally unfit for the club to use. The overall cost of the new pavilion will run to £226,000.

The project is to be funded by partnership funding from various grant bodies but requires the club to input a share of £23,000, part of the strategy to raise this for the club is to carry out various fund raising events such as a Concert by the Yorkshire Volunteer Band, Junior Bag Pack at a local supermarket, Quiz Nights, Race Night and a Sponsored Memorial Game just to name but a few, along with writing to Craven District Council for a grant.

The Cricket would like to ask if the Parish Council would be prepared to assist their effort in raising the amount by making a donation.

The Club have filled in the necessary grant application form and provided all other documents required.

It was **resolved** that a Grant of £500 be awarded.

Sutton's Green Hut Theatre Company

Sutton Amateurs formed in 1928 to promote theatre in the District. We perform our productions at the Village Hall.

The Stage Lighting is totally inadequate so they have to provide our own lighting. They do have some lighting but this needs updating and adding too.

The cost of the project is £1,825.

All the necessary grant application forms and documents have been provided.

It was **resolved** that a Grant of £250 be awarded.

4. Flooding Report

None

5. Footpath Report

None

6. Park Pavilion/ Report**(i) Park Lodge – New Front Door**

A request from the Park Keeper for a new front door for the Lodge due to the door leaking severely in wet weather. A quote of £980 including VAT and fitting was received.

It was **resolved** that the request for a new door be granted. The clerk to check that the price is the best obtainable for the proposed door.

(ii) Putting Green Mower

The Park Keeper has informed that the putting green mower is broken and will cost £500 to fix with no guarantee how long the engine will last. The mower is 20 years old and they do not make the engine any more.

The cost of a new Olympic 500 B & S series 550, 127cc, IC is £1,000. A Golf B & S Series 800, IC Intek, 205cc is £1,400.

It was **resolved** that further quotes be obtained for best value and to look into a scragpage value for the broken mower.

(iii) Storage Unit – Alarm System

A request from the Park Keeper for an alarm system for the storage unit. The alarm system would be beneficial with regard to Insurance purposes.

For the installation of a veritas R8 alarm panel 2 roller shutter door contracts, 1 x PIR, 1X Bell Box and all cabling and connections.

It was **resolved** that the quote for £315.00 be accepted.

(iv) Letter from Resident: MUGA

A letter was read out to members with regard to the Multi Use Games Area, footballs being kicked into gardens and youths entering private property to retrieve them. The resident has requested the goal posts be repositioned and signage be supplied: No trespassing, balls returned to the park keeper.

It was **resolved** that the goal posts be repositioned. With regard to signage it is not within the Councils remit to provide signage for private property.

(v) Email from resident: Car Park

An Email was read out to members with regard to double yellow line proposed outside St Thomas' Church and the suggestion to turn the park land at the church-end of the football pitch, the bit adjacent to the Park Keeper house into a car park by moving the park wall back.

It was **resolved** to inform the resident that moving the wall and constructing a car park would contravene the terms of the deeds.

(vi) Use of the Park

A request has been received to allow a miniature Shetland pony into the park grounds so a group of 1-4 year old children can meet and pet the pony. Copies of Public Liability Insurance have been confirmed.

It was **resolved** that permission be granted.

7. North Yorkshire County Council – Reduction to Grass Cutting Service in Sutton-in-Craven

North Yorkshire County Council are stopping cutting grass where it is not required for safety reasons. The areas of grass are on Park Avenue, North Road, Boundary Ave, Hall Way/North Avenue, Crofters Mill and Hazel Grove Road. Total area of all the grass 4,461 SqM x 6 = 26,766 SqM per year. The County Council is keen for as many councils as possible to cut grass and for that reason is offering a deferred payment option for the next financial year. This means that if the council would like to raise a precept to raise funds to help meet the cost of providing your own grass cutting in the future then the County Council is prepared to underwrite the costs of doing this for 2015/16 on the understanding that the council will repay that extra cost from the new precept in 2016/17. It was **resolved** to enquire who actually owns the areas concerned.

8. Telephone kiosk

BT have informed that the Main Street, phone box is available for adoption, but due to Ofcom restrictions, Craven District Council must give permission before the adoption can proceed. The clerk provided the following information: The Phone box is a K6 1955, Giles Gilbert Scott. It was grade 2 listed with English Heritage in 2002. BT are currently responsible for the up keep of the phone. It was **resolved** that a decision be deferred at this time.

9. Craven District Council –Planning Decisions

Date of valid Application: 30 January 2015
Proposal: Replacement of Fence (Resubmission of Previously Refused Application 66/2014/14761)
Location: 1 Holmfield Farm, Holme Lane, Sutton-in-Craven
Date Decision issued: 09 March 2015
Permission Granted

Date of valid Application: 09 February 2015
Proposal: Proposed Rear Flat Roofed Extension to Create Staff Area and Store. New Staircase to be provided to First Floor Living Accommodation from Existing Entrance on Main Street. Internal Alterations to Create Larger Shop with Glazed Entrance with DDA Access with Internal Ramp.
Location: 30-32 Main Street, Sutton-in-Craven
Date Decision issued: 02 April 2015
Permission Granted

Application Number: 66/2014/15330
Proposal: Removal of Condition 12 Previous Planning Application Ref: 66/2013/13537 (condition 12 Requires the Provision of a Scheme of Affordable Housing to be provided).
Location: Little Croft, West Lane, Sutton-in-Craven
Date Received: 23 December 2014
Application withdrawn by applicant

Date of Valid Application : 13 February 2015
Proposal: Single Storey Side Extension and Associated Access Steps
Location: Stable Cottage, West Lane
Date Decision issued: 10 April 2015
Permission Granted.

10. Changes to Collection Point for Waste and Recycling Collections

The Policy Committee approved the implementation of a Collection Point Policy in September 2014. At this time it is about to be piloted in two areas (Ingleton and part of Cowling). Paul Florentine, Waste Recycling Manager is happy to come along to any future Parish Council meetings to explain and discuss the changes. All householders will receive a letter as part of the consultation.

11. Tour de Yorkshire and Le Petit Depart Event

The Tour de Yorkshire will be passing through Sutton in Craven on Sunday 3rd May 2015. This is an international standard cycling race, building on success and legacy of the Tour De France last year. The professional race will be broadcast on live on ITV in the UK and will be shown over 100 countries worldwide.

The route of the race heads north from Laycock, down the Ellers Road, High Street then on to Holme Lane, before travelling to Cross Hills.

In addition to the professional race, which will pass through Sutton-in-Craven between 1510-1530 (the exact time is dependent on how fast the cyclist are travelling), there is an amateur sportive event of over 2000 riders which uses the same route as the professional race. This will be held Sunday 3rd May 2015 before the professional road race.

Professional Race

The Police will implement a rolling road closures along the race route for around 1 hour. No vehicles will be able to access the race route during the rolling road closure. The anticipated road closure times will be between 1450-1550, however these may vary depending on the speed of the cyclists.

In addition to the rolling road closure, parking restrictions will need to be implemented along Ellers Road, from the top of the descent in to Ellers, and on to High Street until its junction with Main Street. This will mean that no parking will be permitted from 0800-1630 along these roads.

Fundraising 3rd May

The Clerk advised that local groups had been contacted and asked if they wanted to participate in fundraising for the day. Many of the groups have responded positively and on the day there will be food stalls, bouncy castles, face painting, penalty shootout, Treasure Hunt, Tombola, Hook a duck and more.

Le Petit Depart Event

Craven District Council is working with Skipton Cycle Club to organise and deliver a brand new and very exciting cycle event on Sunday 31st May 2015. There are two distances a 38 mile recreational ride for all and a 78 mile challenge ride.

12. Parish Representative for the Village Hall Committee

The current representative for the Parish Council on the Village Hall Committee has given notice due to personal circumstances. A new representative will be re-elected at the Parish Council Annual Meeting.

47/04/2015 Members Reports from Meetings and Community Reports

a) None

48/04/2015 Finance

It was **resolved** that the payments, orders and transfers listed in the report (circulated) be made. Receipts noted.

49/04/2015 Future Agenda Items

To be notified to the clerk.

50/04/2015 Date and Time of Next Meeting

The meeting closed at 8.15 pm. The next meeting will be on the 18th May 2015 at 6.45pm.

	G. R. Norton	M	120.00	Lodge Roof – broken tiles, leaking
Spc001	North Yorkshire County Council	M	2858.74	Street Lighting Energy Costs
Spc002	N Power	M	186.08	Pavilion Gas
Spc003	N Power	M	352.23	Pavilion Electric
Spc004	Silsden Mower Services	M	180.80	Service Dennis Mower
Spc005	Mark Hough Expenses	M	57.19	Fuel, Plywood, Robe Hook
Spc006	North Yorkshire Pension fund	M	710.85	Pension contributions
Spc007	Staff (4 members)	M	2835.53	Salaries
Spc008	HM Revenue & Customs	M	390.60	Tax & Nat Ins
Spc009	Zurich Insurance	April	3383.55	Insurance
Spc010	Yorkshire Local Council Association	April	675.00	Subscription
Spc011	NFU Mutual	April	195.44	Tractor Insurance
Spc012	Total Tree Service	April	2100.00	Tree works
Spc013	Jacs	April	16.45	Equipment
Spc014	Craven District Council	April	72.00	Allotment Bins
Spc015	British Telecommunications	April	92.17	Line Rental, Broadband ,calls
Spc016	Ripon Farm Services	April	170.93	Rake, Mower service
Spc017	Craven District Council	April	246.92	Park Bin