



RECORD OF SUB-COMMITTEE MEETING

Meeting No: 000

Title: RECORD OF SUB-COMMITTEEMEETING **Status:** DRAFT

Location: JORDANHILL SCHOOL, 45 CHAMBERLAIN ROAD, JORDANHILL, G131SP

Date & Time: WEDNESDAY 03 MAY 2017. 19:00 – 21:00hrs

Purpose: JR PETITION STRATEGY

Present:

Dr.	Catherine BENTON	CB	Sub-Committee Member
Mrs.	Patricia CHALMERS	PC	Chairperson
Mr.	Willie DICK	WD	Sub-Committee Member
Mr.	John GRIERSON	JG	JCC Community Councillor
Mr.	Gerry MATHER	GM	Sub-Committee Member
Mr.	Euan MILLER	EM	Sub-Committee Member
Mr.	Jim OSBORNE	JO	Sub-Committee Member
Mr.	Dave REYNOLDS	DR	Sub-Committee Member
Mr.	Robert SCOTT	RS	JCC Associate Member

In Attendance No members of the Public

Apologies: Apologies were received from:

Mr.	David BEATON	JCC Community Councillor
Mrs.	Nicola CAMERON	Sub-Committee Member
Mrs.	Phyllis FISHER	JCC Community Councillor
Mr.	Tom HAMILTON	Sub-Committee Member
Mrs.	Charlie KAUR,	JCC Associate Member / Campus Fund Manager
Mr.	Steven RETTIE	Community Councillor

Agenda:

- 1.0 APOLOGIES
- 2.0 REVIEW OF ACTIONS
- 3.0 ENGAGEMENT STRATEGY – MITIGATION EVENTS
- 4.0 APPROACH TO SCHOOL AND TRUST
- 5.0 CAMPUS FUND – STRATEGY & IMPLEMENTATION
- 6.0 COMMUNICATION – PRESS & LOBBYING
- 7.0 AOCB
- 8.0 DATE & TIME OF NEXT MEETING – JCC 8th MAY 2017.

Distribution: Those Present. Jordanhill Community Council.
(PDF)

REF	MINUTE	ACTION
1.0	APOLOGIES	
1.1	Apologies were received from: David BEATON, Nicola CAMERON, Phyllis FISHER, Tom HAMILTON, Charlie KAUR & Steven RETTIE.	
2.0	REVIEW OF ACTIONS	
2.1	REPRESENTATIONS	
	The Meeting noted that JCC had made representations against the following Planning Applications:	
	17/00530/DC 14 April Listed Building Consent: Internal and external alterations to 'David Stow Building' to facilitate residential development associated with PPP consent 11/00794/DC.	ALL
	17/00531/DC 21 April Matters Specified in Conditions: Erection of residential development and associated infrastructure including conversion of listed and non-listed buildings, formation of car parking and provision of landscaping: Approval of Matters Specified in Conditions 02, 06, 07 and 09 of Planning Permission in Principle consent 11/00794/DC.	
2.2	ON-LINE PLANNING PORTAL	
	Concern was noted with regard the Council's management of the Planning Portal, namely that the representations were not published along with 'confusion' related to the 28 day statutory period and associated extensions. This matter shall be considered for action with the Planning Authority at the next JCC meeting.	JCC
2.3	SPECIALIST CONSULTANT APPOINTMENTS	
	The Meeting noted that JCC have appointed Pritchett Planning Consultancy Ltd and ARUP Glasgow, Design and Engineering Consultants that have assisted in making robust representations against the CALA Homes (West) Limited Applications 17/00530/DC and 17/00531/DC.	
2.4	LEGAL OPINION	
	Specialist appointments mentioned under Item 2.3 have also facilitated gaining opinion from Morton & Fraser LLP (legal Counsel) to serve a Judicial Review Petition on Glasgow City Council against the Planning Permission in Principle (11/00794/DC).	
2.5	JUDICIAL REVIEW PETITION	
	The Meeting noted that a Judicial Review Petition was served on Glasgow City Council on 03 May 2017. The Petition is presented in two parts: (a) Material Change in Circumstances – Traffic and Public Transport & Ecological Impact, and (b) Section 75 Agreements. This relates to the Planning Decision Notice issued on 26 January 2017 to the University of Strathclyde that granted Planning Permission in Principle subject to conditions for the residential development at Jordanhill Campus (11/00794/DC). The Petition will be challenged, requiring us to protect our liabilities by obtaining from the Court a Protected Expenses Order.	
	Judicial Review Papers were also served on Jordanhill School as an 'interested Party'.	
	The Meeting agreed to recommend that Euan MILLER be nominated as JCC legal spokesperson in relation to JR press enquiries.	JCC

REF	MINUTE	ACTION
2.0	REVIEW OF ACTIONS	CONTINUED
2.6	PUBLIC MEETING	SEC
	<p>The meeting noted that a Public Meeting was arranged for Sunday 14 May 2017 at 14:00hrs in Jordanhill Parish Church. It was agreed that JCC would issue a letter co-signed by JCC Chairperson and Sub-Committee Chairperson to all residents inviting attendance along with setting out community aims and objectives. These are:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> (a) Advise on Representations made against the Applications: 17/00530/DC and 17/00531/DC. (b) Obtain support for Judicial Review Petition served on Glasgow City Council. (c) Procuring a Protected Expenses Order (d) Raising Community Funding Awareness & Events (e) Increasing Capacity Resources. <p>Refer to Appendix A: JCC Letter to Residents.</p> <p>The Meeting offered thanks and appreciation to Ken Robertson who agreed to print the letters and coordinate distribution for weekend 6/7 May 2017 with Willie Dick.</p>	
3.0	ENGAGEMENT STRATEGY	
3.1	COMMUNITY MITIGATION REFERENCED TO JORDANHILL CAMPUS PLAN 2	
	<p>The Meeting thanked Dave REYNOLDS for presenting a Community Mitigation Paper referenced to standards and relevant events associated with Jordanhill Campus Plan 2 (Phase) as approved by the Planning Applications Committee on 15 January 2013. The Paper was agreed to be adopted as a baseline framework for input to form a long list from which it is likely we will have to focus on key items if the desired negotiations occur.</p> <p>Refer to Appendix B: Engagement Strategy – Relevant Events.</p>	ALL
4.0	APPROACH TO SCHOOL & TRUST	
4.1	<p>The Meeting after debate agreed to recommend that JCC write to the Convenors of Jordanhill School and Jordanhill School Educational Amenities Trust Fund requesting meetings to align strategies and explore potential funding options. JCC representation to be discussed at full Council meeting.</p> <p>Refer to Appendix C: JCC Letter Draft to Convenor Jordanhill School.</p>	
4.2	<p>The Meeting debated constructing a FOI requesting disclosure from The University of Strathclyde relevant events associated with the sale and/or asset compensation of playing fields at Kilmardinny to Jordanhill School. It was agreed that the School may have obtained the best possible outcomes to protect their interests, and that there is no merit in pursuing retrospective disclosure of such events.</p>	

REF	MINUTE	ACTION
5.0	CAMPUS FUND – STRATEGY & IMPLEMENTATION	CONTINUED
5.1	The meeting was advised that the Campus Fund has raised £7,348.72 at 02 May 2017. The committed expenditure is £16,568 representing a present shortfall of £9,219.28. This includes an estimated cost of £7,000 to obtain a Protected Expenses Order.	
5.2	The balance does not include The Council's approved discretionary grant payment of £400.	
5.3	Comparative analysis between Financial Statements of 24 April and 02 May 2017 identifies public donations of £160. Chairperson appealed to those sub-committee members who have pledged £100 donations to act now and lodge funds with the Bank of Scotland.	ALL
	The Meeting agreed to establish a working group tasked specifically with fundraising and email to the entire 400 database asking for volunteers. Further consideration requires to be given to determining an appropriate Crowd Funding Model to allow people to pay through online means.	WD
	The Meeting acknowledged Email from Tom Hamilton proposing a Fundraising Night at Woodend Tennis and Bowling Club that could be organised late May or early June before the summer school recess. To be discussed further.	ALL
5.4	Refer to Appendix D: JCC Financial Statement Revision A.	
6.0	COMMUNICATION, PRESS & LOBBYING	
6.1	The Meeting agreed to highlight as a priority the need for JCC to have an effective and user-friendly web-portal for publishing information that can be linked to social media. Capacity resources require to be amplified to facilitate this matter - request for specialist skills to be included in JCC's letter to Jordanhill Residents – Refer to Item 2.6 Public Meeting.	
6.2	With reference to Item 2.6: Public Meeting the Meeting agreed to issue a sole JCC letter to Jordanhill Residents and this requires to be followed up with selective door-to-door campaigning. Southbrae Gardens, Jordanhill Drive and Victoria Park Drive North were noted as potential streets. Volunteers required for canvassing.	ALL
6.3	With regard the JR Petition the meeting debated the proposal to write to the Chief Executive proposing an early meeting. It was agreed to seek legal advice on the appropriateness of such a letter.	EM
6.4	It was agreed that JCC Communications should include:	
	(a) JCC Press Release to be issued post Public Meeting on 14 May 2017.	
	Refer to Appendix E: JCC DRAFT Press Release.	
	(b) Letters to new Victoria Park Ward 12 Councillors requesting support of the JR Petition and inviting strategic briefing. Willie Dick agreed to draft and circulate a letter for comment.	WD

REF	MINUTE:	ACTION
7.0	AOCB	
7.1	STATUTORY REPRESENTATION PERIOD	
	Dr Catherine BENTON sought clarification of the confusion around the statutory closure dates for making representations against the CALA Homes (West) planning applications. Assurances were also sought that 'late' representations submitted within the extended periods would be taken into consideration.	
7.2	STATUTORY CONSULTEES – SCOTTISH WATER & SCOTTISH WATER SOLUTIONS	
	Dr BENTON raised the statutory role of Scottish Water and/or Scottish Water Solutions related to the AMSC application 17/00531/DC specific to network capacity, condition and connectivity. The meeting noted such concerns and requested to be kept-up-to-date of any developments.	
7.3	ON-LINE PLANNING PORTAL	
	Concern was also noted with regard the management of the Council's On-Line Planning Portal specific to providing quality control of statutory and public representations made to date. JCC has Agenda placed this matter for consideration at their next meeting proposing an early debriefing with the Planning Authority.	JCC
7.4	PLANNING APPLICATIONS COMMITTEE	
	Jim OSBORNE proposed that with regard the CALA Applications the Planning Applications Committee should consider a Public Hearing to be held in the community. The meeting noted that August 2017 may be the earliest date for a report to be submitted to the Planning Committee.	
	Experience has shown that the Council's Corporate Services are reluctant to hold a Planning Applications Committee meeting in the community, however facilities can be provided for large public representation in the City Chambers.	
8.0	DATE & TIME OF NEXT MEETING	
	JCC on Monday 8 th May 2017 at 19:00hrs – Jordanhill School Refectory.	

Chairperson: John Winfield
Address: 133 Essex Drive, Jordanhill, Glasgow G14 9PD.
Email: john.winfield20@ntlworld.com;

SENT TO ALL JORDANHILL RESIDENTS

6th May 2017

JORDANHILL CAMPUS UPDATE

JUDICIAL REVIEW PETITION SERVED AGAINST GLASGOW CITY COUNCIL

Dear Resident,

Thanks to the support of Jordanhill residents we have been able to appoint a planning and transport consultant to help us make representations against the CALA Homes (West) Limited Applications 17/00530/DC¹ and 17/00531/DC² along with gaining opinion from our legal Counsel to serve a Judicial Review Petition on Glasgow City Council against the Planning Permission in Principle (11/00794/DC).



22 April 2017: Residents protest over plans to build 412 homes.

¹ **17/00530/DC**: Internal and external alterations to 'David Stow Building' to facilitate residential development associated with Planning Permission in Principle consent 11/00794/DC.

² **17/00531/DC**: Erection of residential development and associated infrastructure including conversion of listed and non-listed buildings, formation of car parking and provision of landscaping: Approval of Matters Specified in Conditions 02, 06, 07 and 09 of Planning Permission in Principle consent 11/00794/DC.

The Judicial Review Petition was served on Wednesday 03 May 2017 and if successful will mean that the Planning Decision Notice of 26 January 2017 that granted Planning Permission in Principle to the University of Strathclyde will be sent back to the Planning Applications Committee to reconsider the decision that they reached on 15 January 2013. Please be assured that we shall continue to fight to make this happen. This may lead to significant revisions to CALA's Listed Building Consent and Matters Specified in Conditions proposals that many of us have made representations against. The Petition may be challenged, requiring us to protect our liabilities by obtaining from the Court a Protected Expenses Order (PEO).

We have raised, thanks to your generosity, the amazing sum of £7,348.72³ towards our Campus Dispute Fund. Our committed expenditure is £16,568 representing a present shortfall of £9,219.28. This includes an estimated cost of £7,000 to obtain a PEO.

We really need your help and would be grateful if those who have not yet contributed would consider doing so now. Donations, large or small, should be made to the **Bank of Scotland, Sort Code: 80.07.55, Account Number 00753749**.


You are invited to attend a **Public Meeting to be held on Sunday 14th May 2017 at 2.00 p.m. in Jordanhill Parish Church** where we will explain our strategy and provide you with an update on our progress to date. We will also invite your support of actions taken on your behalf to 'get back into the game' with relevant parties. The need for community collaboration has never been greater. Jordanhill residents need to come together to ensure that this proposed residential development is appropriate and more suited to the very special place that we are proud to call 'Jordanhill Campus'.

We are looking to build our capacity resources and would invite those who have particular skills in organisation and management, digital communication, web design and development to declare their interest.

Keep-up-to-date by registering your contact email on our Data Base: jordanhillcampus@outlook.com;

We look forward to seeing you and your neighbours next Sunday.

Yours faithfully,



John Winfield,
On behalf of Jordanhill Community Council.



Patricia Chalmers MBE,
On behalf of Jordanhill Campus Sub-Committee.

³ £7,348.72: Jordanhill Treasurers Account Select Statement REV A dated 02 May 2017.

Potential Community Mitigation/Planning Gain for Jordanhill Campus Development

- 1 Providing for Community Value Proposition**
- 1.1 Proposition 1 – Community Pitches & Sports Pitches Management Plan**
 - (a) Revert to Jordanhill Campus Plan 2 position that proposed Jordanhill School Educational Amenities Trust Fund being charged with responsibility for the Sports Management Plan to ensure the pitches benefit the School and local community.
 - (b) Contribution by CALA/Strathclyde University to the care and maintenance of the pitches.
 - (c) Contribution by CALA/Strathclyde University to the provision of supporting infrastructure beyond the proposed toilet and first aid facility. To include the addition of changing facilities at Jordanhill School and maintenance of within curtilage car parking.
 - (d) Revise the Section 75 Community Pitches and re-register.
- 1.2 Proposition 2 – University House & University Plot 12**
 - (a) CALA/Strathclyde University gift University House and Plot 12 to the Community Council and delete developing the proposed two apartment blocks.
 - (b) CALA/Strathclyde University to contribute towards the provision of a community facility.
 - (c) Revise the Section 75 Community Pitches and re-register.
- 2 Residential Density & Layout**
 - (a) Revert to the lower Campus Plan 2 capacity of 348 dwellings.
 - (b) Redesign development to reflect the lower site capacity and reduced development footprint.
 - (c) Majority of the development to be non-flatted, and where appropriate be limited to 2/3 storey as proposed in Campus Plan 2.

3 Public Transport Enhancement

- (a) Restore bus service frequencies and route to Southbrae Drive/Byres Road/City Centre.
- (b) Consider addressing community mobility as part of Proposition 2 (b).

4 Open/Greenspace

- (a) Undertake a PAN 65 Open Space comparative audit between site as existing and Campus Plan 2
- (b) Revert to the Campus Plan 2 development footprint and maintain its proposed open/greenspace area, including north and east of the David Stow Building.
- (c) Strengthen landscape character area connectivity.
- (d) Revise the Section 75 Open Space and re-register.

5 Walled Garden

- (a) Revert to Campus Plan 2 proposal to incorporate the remaining walled garden walls into the development of this area.
- (b) As proposed in Campus Plan 2, public open space should be provided within the development to echo the spirit of the walled garden.

6 Pond Feature

- (a) Restore pond as a key part of the historic designed garden and landscape maintaining heritage relationships with the former Jordanhill House and Walled Garden.
- (b) Strengthen the pond feature in terms of ecology (protected species), biodiversity including integration with SUDS and landscape character areas. Build-upon open/greenspace principles set by Campus Plan 2.

7 Design Coding

- (a) Introduce Design Coding as a Matter Specified in Conditions. Revise palate of materials to better reflect those used on the remaining buildings of the Campus and the Edwardian Estate housing to the east and south of the site – sandstone and slate.

8

Integrated Urban Design

- (a) Develop integrated solutions that include an Energy Centre and a district heating transmission network to serve the total development and be capable of expansion into the surrounding residential area.
- (a) Develop integrated solutions that include an Energy Centre and a district heating transmission network to serve the total development and be capable of expansion into the surrounding residential area.
- (b) Co-ordinate (a) by the creation of virtual watercourses to provide innovative surface water management solutions capable for adoption and vesting.
- (c) Achieve greater support for Renewable Energy Efficiency integrated with sustainable on-site construction.

9

David Stow Building

- (a) Ensure that the Conservation Audit grading of significance related with the Francis Tombs Hall and Council Chamber is maintained and that these large volume spaces are retained for civic and community uses as proposed in Campus Plan 2.
- (b) Design of Plot 10 (Sir Henry Wood Hall Building) to include car parking provision associated with the David Stow Building.

10

Sir Henry Wood Hall Building – Telecommunications Antenna

- (a) To be relocated within the site.

11

Crawfurd Building – Former site of Jordanhill House (Plot 3)

- (a) New development to be a landmark building and retain the massing emphasis of Jordanhill House.
- (b) Strengthen the built and landscape heritage relationships with the pond, walled garden and sunken garden feature along with screening of established trees to the David Stow Building.

12 Street Patterns & Internal Circulation

- (a) Revert to Campus Plan 2 position that the primary internal access route be located to the west of the site, on its periphery, so that traffic is directed away from the frontage of the David Stow building and its landscape setting.
- (b) Revert to maintaining the perimeter road to acts as a buffer-zone between the development and landscape components including Ancient, Semi-natural Woodlands

13 Parking

- (a) As proposed in Campus Plan 2, the majority of parking provision should be either underground, in garages or covered areas behind the building line and within the curtilage of the plots.
- (b) Relocate the proposed parking from the front of the David Stow building to a less prominent position to its rear in line with the recommendations of Campus Plan 2.

14 Traffic & Road Junctions

- (a) Greater emphasis on pedestrian and cycle core path connectivity by adopting principles of Designing Streets.
- (b) Strengthen core path connectivity to Victoria Park Nature Walk
- (c) Design Southbrae Drive/Campus Access and Southbrae Drive/Westbrae Drive junctions to operate together as streets not road junctions.
- (d) Re-design Southbrae Drive/Crow Road junction with realistic assessment of capacity and traffic levels.

15 Construction Management Plan

- (a) Involve the community in producing a robust Construction Traffic Management Plan.
- (b) Engage the community in all environmental aspects that may affect their health and wellbeing.

Chairperson: John Winfield

Address: 133 Essex Drive, Jordanhill, Glasgow G14 9PD.

Email: john.winfield20@ntlworld.com;

JORDANHILL SCHOOL

EDUCATIONAL AMENITIES TRUST FUND

45 Chamberlain Road,
JORDANHILL, G13 1SP.

FAO: Convenor: Dr Paul Thomson.

JORDANHILL CAMPUS

May 2017

Dear Dr. Thomson,

I write on behalf of Jordanhill Community Council with regard to the current CALA Homes (West) Ltd planning applications being considered for the Campus site, but also with regard to the Planning Decision Notice that granted Permission in Principle ("PPiP") on 26 January 2017.

I would firstly like to sincerely thank the Trust for their letter of support of 30 January 2017 to the aims of the Community Value Proposition ("CVP") which, as you are aware were submitted to both the University of Strathclyde and Glasgow City Council earlier this year. Your collaboration is very much appreciated by the Members, as it has always been felt very important that we seek to show that our holistic aims and objectives have the full support of the wider community.

In a similar vein of ensuring our actions are open and transparent we would very much like to explain in person to the Trustees our strategy related to the Judicial Review Petition served on Glasgow City Council.

The decision to proceed was not taken lightly but it was felt on balance to be the best means of achieving not only the aims of the CVP but also to ensure that we can revert to negotiate around the Campus Plan 2 policy development principles.

It will not be lost on the Trust that one of the key areas of difference between the previous “parameters” and the consented planning permission was is in the treatment of the community pitches and the wider role associated Sport Pitches Management Plan. We know this is of great concern to the School and is one of the key aims of the CVP, and indeed the Judicial Review.

We would therefore very much welcome an opportunity to meet, explain our actions and align strategies for opening dialogue with the Planning Authority. On behalf of the community, we would also wish to explore other ways that we think the Trust could support our critical path milestones.

We look forward to hearing from you in reply.

Yours faithfully

John Winfield,
On behalf of Jordanhill Community Council.

PRESS RELEASE DRAFT**RECOMMENDED FOR ISSUE: SUNDAY 14 MAY 2017**

**JORDANHILL COMMUNITY COUNCIL TO GO TO COURT
OVER GLASGOW CITY COUNCIL'S PLANNING DECISION
FOR DEVELOPMENT ON JORDANHILL CAMPUS SITE**

Glasgow City Council's decision to grant planning permission in principle for a major development of 348-364 residential homes and associated infrastructure on the Jordanhill Campus site is to be challenged in the Court of Session.

Jordanhill Community Council has just lodged a petition for judicial review of the decision, saying the decision was "ultra vires, unreasonable and irrational" and failed to take into account up-to-date information.

The Community Council argues in the petition to the court that the January 2017 decision – taken by City Council's Executive Director of Development and Regeneration Services – should have been referred back to the council's planning committee, which last considered the Campus planning application in January 2013, almost four and a half years ago

It states that that the decision to grant planning permission on the Strathclyde University site failed to take account of "material considerations" under the Town and Country Planning (Scotland) Act 1997.

"There were significant and material changes in circumstances in the intervening period relating to open/greenspace, ecological impact, public transport provision and traffic impact which the (City Council Planning) Committee should have taken into account", says the Community Council.

"The application should have been reassessed by the Committee having regard to these changes. It failed to do so.

"Accordingly the respondent (Glasgow City Council) failed to fulfil its statutory duty in terms of the Act and proceeded on a misunderstanding of the factual situation and failed to take account of material considerations"

The Community Council highlight four key "grounds for challenge" in their court action against the City Council's decision.

These are the protection of open/greenspace on the Campus site, failure to assess the latest ecological impact and advice from Scottish National Heritage, major changes in the local bus network since the 2011 transport assessment of the campus project and a significant increase in peak period traffic on Southbrae Drive/Westbrae drive junction.

The Community Council underline in support of their argument two "minutes of agreement" – the pitches agreement and the greenspace agreement - which were concluded on 20th January this year as part of the city council's decision to grant Planning Permission in Principle under Section 75 of the 1995 Planning Act.

The pitches agreement was entered into by the city council and Strathclyde University to “regulate the use of part of the Jordanhill Campus site as sports pitches”. The greenspace agreement involved the City Council, Strathclyde University and CALA Management Limited, the developer, to “ensure that an appropriate level of open space is provided in any development” of the Campus site.

The Community Council claims that the plans attached to both agreements “do not reflect the plans that were put before the Planning Applications Committee in 2013”.

“Taken together the open/greenspace agreement does not include all the greenspace designation within the boundary of the site. Amongst other things it does not include the open parkland immediately in front of the David Stow Building, which is within the curtilage of a B listed building.

“Consequently, that area of greenspace is not protected from development. These areas were clearly referenced in the supporting plans submitted with the planning application as being greenspace and they are designated and included as greenspace in City Plan 2 and Campus Plan 2”.

Euan Miller for Jordanhill Community Council said:

“We believe the city council in granting Planning Permission in Principle for this major development of the Jordanhill Campus site have ridden roughshod not only over both their own Glasgow City Plan 2 but also local opinion.

“The new detailed plan to build 412 homes on the site is too much, will put strains on the local road network but more seriously will reduce greenspace in this much loved part of the city. This decision should have been taken by the planning committee, not a council official.

“This is completely unacceptable.

“We are of the view that the developer should be forced to reduce the number of houses on the site to around 348-364, the maximum contained in their Planning Permission in Principle application.”

Yesterday (Sunday 14th May) a public meeting took place at Jordanhill Parish Church to back Jordanhill Community Council’s court action and support a fundraising campaign to get the city council to review their decision.

All press and media enquiries to:

William Dick
Mobile 07770 783923
Email willied.1@btinternet.com

Euan Miller
Mobile 07789 818431
Email euan_miller@hotmail.co.uk

Background note:

Jordanhill Campus Site of 16.6 hectares is the former site of the Jordanhill Teacher Training College, which became part of Strathclyde University. The buildings on the site, including the university’s principal’s house have been vacant since 2011 when Strathclyde Unvidersity completed moved their education and social work departments to new buildings in the city centre. Cala Homes (West) Limited have submitted plans to develop the site.

Press Release ends.