



1. (i) The competition is open to students taking a law course in a law school whose entry is supported by a faculty member. Any English or Welsh law school may enter one team consisting of two students. Law schools with more than one branch or embedded in other law schools may enter a maximum of two teams. No student may take part in the competition on more than one occasion

(ii). In the event of a student falling ill before the regionals or between the regionals and the finals, the team may find a substitute. No one-person teams may take part at any stage.

(ii) A copy of the Assessment Criteria will be posted on the competition website clientinterviewing.com.

2. (i) Each client interviewing session may last for a maximum of 40 minutes. This includes the time for the interview and the post-interview reflection. 30 minutes are normally devoted to the interview, but it is for the teams to manage the time. During the interview, the team is expected to elicit the relevant information, outline the problem and make suggestions for its resolution.

(ii) During the post interview reflection period, the team should discuss the interview, within the hearing of the judges, using the opportunity to assess the interview and discuss future strategies for dealing with the client's problems.

It is up to each team to decide how they will share the work. Their work plan is subject to judging, however, and the team may wish to use part of this post interview reflection to explain their work plan.

(iii) After all the teams have finished their sessions, the judges in each group shall privately consult in order to evaluate the teams. The client may at this time be invited to participate in the consultation, but his/her opinion should not be determinative.

Each team will be awarded marks according to the competition Assessment Criteria.

3. (i) A panel of two or three judges will judge each group. The competition organisers will endeavour to achieve a mixture of backgrounds including law practitioners and people with a strong background in counselling.

(ii) The judges will receive a copy of the Assessment Criteria before the competition.

(iii) The judges and the teams will agree the starting time for the interview. The team will be responsible for its own timekeeping during the interview. The judges will indicate when the 40 minutes are up at which point the session must be terminated.

4. (i) In order to preserve anonymity each team will be identified to the judges only by a number or letter which will be given to each team at registration.

(ii) Where practicable, teams that have conducted their interviews in a particular group will be segregated from those who have not yet participated.



(iii) Observers may watch any interviews but may not return to the area where teams that have not competed are waiting or in any other way communicate with a team that has not yet participated in that round.

(iv) Team members may not observe any interview until after they have conducted their own interview(s).

(v) No team may exclude observers.

(vi) The use of electronic devices is permitted but not encouraged other than for checking the time.

(vii) All entrants agree to their interviews being recorded and used in videos produced by the Client Interviewing Competition and hosting venues.

5. Where the number of teams entering the competition is sufficient in any year, the National Committee may decide that regional qualifying rounds will be held. Regionals may be in person or online.

6. (i) Each competition will have as its subject matter a specific area of law to be decided annually by the National Committee.

(ii) In the week prior to any round in the competition a memorandum indicating the general nature of the client's problems in that round will be posted on the clientinterviewing.com website.

(iii) In the event of a tie in a regional qualifying round for a place in the finals, the following tie break formula will apply:

- Each judge will rank each team against the teams they have seen. This is to be solely determined by the scores given. The placement ranking scores for each team will be added together. The lower scoring team is the winner.

(iv) If this does not resolve the tie, the following will apply:

- The highest and lowest of the marks each team has been awarded will be deducted and the remaining marks recalculated. The higher scoring team is the winner.

(v) If this does not resolve the tie, the co-chairs will decide as soon as practicable the fairest way of deciding who progresses to the finals

(vi) Where regional qualifying rounds are held, there shall be right of appeal for law schools against decisions made by judges but on procedural grounds only. Any appeals must be made in writing within 7 days of the date of the decision and should be sent to the Rules Adjudicator of the Competition who will have sole discretion in investigating and judging on those appeals.

8. (i) While the discussion of fees plays an important part of any first consultation, such discussion should not take up too much time in the competition. A uniform fee schedule will, therefore, apply for the purposes of the competition. This schedule will apply for all contestants and will not be



subject for discussion with the judges. Where the client is not eligible for legal aid, the client will be told that the initial interview will be billed at a standard rate.

(ii) Teams will be expected to be aware of the SRA's Code of Conduct which comprise a framework for ethical and competent practice.

9. In the event of a tie for first place in the competition finals, both teams will be joint winners of the competition. However, only one team can be selected as representatives for the international competition. To choose that team, the following tie breaker will apply:

- Each judge will rank each team against the teams they have seen. This is to be solely determined by the scores given. The placement ranking scores for each team will be added together. The lower scoring team will be selected.

If this does not resolve the tie, the following will apply:

- The highest and lowest of the marks each team has been awarded will be deducted and the remaining marks recalculated. The higher scoring team will be selected.

If this does not resolve the tie, the co-chairs will decide as soon as practicable the fairest way of deciding who progresses to the international competition