

## **Stakeholder engagement meeting minutes**

**Stakeholder: Don (?), Lady (?) Dave Siswick (DS), Paul Say (PS)**

**Date: 28 SEP 2016 14.30pm**

**Location: NYCC Offices, Area 6, Boroughbridge**

**Attendees: Dave Siswick (DS), Paul Say (PS)**

### **Key points**

- Papers and agenda shared in advance of meeting. See additional attachments but included;
  - Findings of R&W Neighbourhood Plan Survey
  - High level analysis of Bar Lane traffic survey
  - Purpose and objectives of the meeting
- Very little that NYCC are prepared to do to support or address challenges faced by the Parish. Rationale;
  - More important priorities elsewhere in the region
  - Budget

### Specific points raised

#### **1. Bar Lane – capacity and road infrastructure**

- NYCC felt current infrastructure was fit for purpose
- ‘Road modeling’ had been undertaken and it was felt road infrastructure was sufficient
- Concerns raised by DS and PS regarding pedestrian access & state of pavements (especially given the types of traffic going down the road – HGV) were not addressed by NYCC

#### **2. Future road infrastructure plans for Bar Lane to support the industrial zone**

- There are no plans to upgrade Bar Lane
- Development of infrastructure is reactive rather than proactive. No vision, no plan
- Any development which will result in increased volumes of traffic will be considered as part of the overall planning process
- DS & PS expressed concerns over developments at Payne’s Dairies that will immediately increase frequency of HGV traffic within the industrial zone, impacting local traffic. Furthermore, it is anticipated that additional development of the Payne’s Dairies site will commence increasing the production output of the premises and therefore the volume of HGV traffic to transport goods away
- NYCC felt that the planning process would have accounted for this

#### **3. Road signage**

- Road signage proposals relating to HGV’s are still pending, despite being submitted some 3 years
- Some confusion regarding the status of the proposals
- Belief of NYCC representatives that there were more pressing priorities
- NYCC confirmed that they were open to the private funding of road signage to expedite matters

#### **4. HGV parking**

- It was highlighted to NYCC that HGV parking and the impact of that parking were continuing and nothing was being done about it. Issues raised included;
  - Illegal parking
  - Noise pollution
  - Damage to road infrastructure
  - Environmental waste – human excrement, litter etc.
- Representatives of NYCC offered no support, remedies or direction

#### **5. 20 zone in Roecliffe**

- NYCC representatives not supportive on the basis of;
  - Budget i.e. signage costs, additional road furniture required
  - In ability to enforce the speed limit

#### **6. Parking around the School**

- Little support or sympathy. NYCC stated that this was a national issue, not specific to Roecliffe
- Matter for the Head Teacher of Roecliffe Primary School to address with Parents

#### **AOB**

- Good news – funding for future Tour De Yorkshire's has been found. NYCC representatives very proud of that news

#### **Next steps**

- NYCC to provide resource to support Road Safety week initiative